Annex no. 2

University of Economics in Bratislava

Department for Science and Doctoral Studies

 Evaluation sheet of the completed research project

Name of the project: ..................................................................................................

..................................................................................................

..................................................................................................

*Evaluator:*

Name and surname: ..................................................................................................

Workplace: ..................................................................................................

Contact (email, phone) ..................................................................................................

Criteria for evaluating the projects of young teachers, scientific workers and doctoral students in the full-time form of study at the University of Economics in Bratislava

Evaluation criteria for ending projects

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Range of points** | **Point evaluation** |
| 1. **Scientific difficulty of the project (verbal evaluation - max. 200**

**characters)**- To what extent is the project original and innovative? (0-8 b)- To what extent the project solution has the potential to contribute to expand the knowledge base? (0-8 b)- The project has only the character of theoretical research, or does it also have empirical implications? (0-4 b)**Provide a verbal evaluation:** | **0 - 20** |  |
| **2. Quality of formulated goals and research methodology (verbal evaluation - max. 200 characters)**- To what extent the goals contain an element of originalityand news? (0-4 b)- How clearly and in detail are the objectives described? (0-8 b)- What is the complexity of project solution methods (only analysisexisting literature, comparative analysis, fieldquestionnaire survey, mathematical and statistical methods, etc.)? (0-8 b)**Provide a verbal evaluation:** | 1. **- 20**
 |  |
| 1. **Quality of processing of the scientific part of the project/state of knowledge (verbal evaluation - max. 200 characters)**

- To what extent has the project proponent demonstrated a deepknowledge of the current state of the solved problem in the homeand foreign literature?**Provide a verbal evaluation:** | 1. **- 15**
 |  |
| 1. **Evaluation of the quality of the planned outputs of the project (verbal evaluation - max. 200 characters)**

- What proportion are they in the structure of planned publicationsproject outputs represented by:- Conference proceedings? (0-3 b)- Scientific journals? (0-3 b)- Journals indexed in SCOPUS/Web of Science? (0-4 b)**Provide a verbal evaluation:** | **0 - 10** |  |
| 1. **Continuity of the project with the research priorities of the Slovak Republic, the UN, of the European Union, or whether the project is interdisciplinary character (verbal evaluation - max. 200 characters)**
	* Continuity (0-10 b)
	* Interdisciplinarity (0-5 b)

**Provide a verbal evaluation:**  | **0 -ccc 10- 0 xx15** **0 - 15** |  |
| 1. **Adequacy of the amount of planned financial costs for the entire period of project solution following the scope and the complexity of solving the problem, the level of the set goals and the composition of the solving team (verbal evaluation - max. 200 characters)**

- To what extent are individual project budget items realisticplanned? (0-10 b)- To what extent is the planned budget adequate consideringsolved problem, defined goals, compositionthe investigative team? (0-10 b) **Provide a verbal evaluation:** | **0 - 20** |  |
|  **Together:** | **max. 100 points** |  |

Date:...............................................

Signature of evaluator:................................................